STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of S.R., a/k/a S.C., and B.R., a/k/a B.C., Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

retuoner-Appened

KIM CHRISTIAN,

 \mathbf{v}

Respondent-Appellant.

Before: Schuette, P.J., and Sawyer and Wilder, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent appeals as of right from the trial court's order terminating her parental rights to the minor children pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i) and (g). We affirm.

The trial court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. *In re IEM*, 233 Mich App 438, 450; 592 NW2d 751 (1999). Respondent completed some aspects of the treatment plan, but failed to address through counseling the principal issues that led to adjudication. Although respondent entered substance abuse therapy and did stop using cocaine, she began abusing a prescription drug. Despite being treated twice for an overdose, she continued to deny any abuse. Respondent entered counseling to address her endangerment of the children, but continued to deny any responsibility for her actions. Further, the evidence did not show that termination of respondent's parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); *In re Trejo Minors*, 462 Mich 341, 354, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). Thus, the trial court did not err in terminating respondent's parental rights to the children. *Id*.

Affirmed.

/s/ Bill Schuette

/s/ David H. Sawyer

UNPUBLISHED March 27, 2003

No. 242873

Bay Circuit Court Family Division

LC No. 00-007110-NA

/s/ Kurtis T. Wilder